

Past Performance Letter

Date
Dear:
The Department of Energy (DOE) is asking for your assistance in a procurement effort.
attached questionnaire to help us evaluate
Please use the following definitions to provide your ratings:
0 - <u>Unsatisfactory</u> - The contractor failed to meet the minimum contract requirements.
1 - <u>Poor</u> - Performance was less than expected. The contractor performed below minimum contract requirements.
2 - <u>Satisfactory</u> - Performance met expected levels. The contractor met the minimum contract requirements.
3 - <u>Good</u> - Contractor performance exceeded expected levels. The contractor performed above minimum contract requirements and displayed a thorough understanding of contract requirements.
4 - <u>Excellent</u> - Contractor performance substantially exceeded expected levels of performance. The contractor consistently performed above contract requirements, displayed an overall superior understanding of contract requirements, and used innovative approaches leading to enhanced performance.
NA - <u>Not applicable</u>
DK - <u>Don't know</u> . No knowledge available to rate this question.
Please feel free to provide an explanatory narrative under REMARKS. If more space is needed, please attach additional pages.
We greatly appreciate your time and assistance in completing this questionnaire.
Please return the completed questionnaire byto:
U.S. Department of Energy Consolidated Business Center 250 East 5 th Street, Suite 500 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Attn: Noelle Mills

ATTACHMENT L-5 -- Continued

Past Performance Questionnaire

0=Unsatisfactory, 1=Poor, 2=Satisfactory, 3=Good, 4=Excellent, NA=Not Applicable, DK=Don't Know

Did the contractor compl Statement executed effect							orts? Was the Performance Work nanner? DK	
Did the contractor meet r deliverables on time and							o technical directions, complete istration)? DK	
Did the contractor perfor reasonably priced change							m within estimated costs, submit omplete billing? DK	
Was the contractor's Environment, Safety & Health program in compliance with contract requirements and protective of workers, public, and the environment?								
	0	1	2	3	4	NA	DK	
Was the contractor effect	ive in sub 0	contract i	managem 2	nent?	4	NA	DK	
Did the contractor effecti	vely mana	age regula 1	atory con 2	npliance	programs 4	and regu NA	nlatory interfaces?	
Did the contractor develo	p and imp	olement a	n effectiv	ve quality 3	assuranc	ce progra	m? DK	
	U	1	2	3	4	NA	DK	
Did the contractor manage effectively including cooperation with the technical representatives, the Contracting Officer, and other stakeholders showing flexibility, and being responsive?								
omion, and outer states.	0	1	2	3	4	NA	DK	
Did the contractor resolvemanner?	e problem	s encoun	tered on t	the contra	act and in	nplement	corrective actions in a timely	
	0	1	2	3	4	NA	DK	
Were you as the custome this Company again?YesNo		itly satisf	ied with	the overa	ll perforn	nance of	this contractor, that you would hire	
Remarks:								

Your company name or organization and address:

Name/Telephone number/Title of person completing the questionnaire: